Mr. Paul V. Rosasco Project Coordinator Engineering Management Support, Inc. 7220 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 406 Lakewood, CO 80235

Dear Mr. Rosasco:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has reviewed the August 28, 2015 submittal titled, "Revised Work Plan for Additional Characterization of Extent of Radiologically-Impacted Material in Areas 1 and 2 West Lake Landfill Operable Unit-1, Bridgeton, Missouri," as prepared by Engineering Management Support, Inc. (EMSI) on behalf of Cotter Corporation (N.S.L.), Bridgeton Landfill, LLC., Rock Road Industries, Inc. and the United States Department of Energy (the Respondents).

The EPA is approving the following elements of this work plan for immediate execution:

- Scope of Work and Objectives of the Investigation
- Field Investigation and Sample Collection and Analyses
- Schedule of defined activities from Mobilization through Data Validation.

The EPA also expects every effort will be made to minimize durations of the various approved scheduled activities, as discussed in the work plan and prior technical meeting. In general, performance of the fieldwork is clearly based on sonic drilling method and verified in our discussion on September 2, 2015; therefore, employment of other methods such as geoprobe and/or hollow stem auger rig(s) should in theory significantly shorten this activity's duration. As with prior Phase 1D fieldwork, potential schedule delays should be minimal (e.g., days not weeks) if caused by changing field drilling equipment to complete this investigation. If the sonic rig is not readily available, some other sample locations would still be amenable to the hollow stem auger method and could proceed while the sonic rig is mobilized on site.

The EPA is also approving the work plan's reporting section with regards to content but does not, however, approve the associated schedule durations identified under the Data Evaluation and subsequent Report portions. As previously discussed in the August 13, 2015 technical meeting and on September 2, 2015, these activities are not entirely linear. While some overlap time has been built into the revised schedule between these activities, it still does not reflect durations in the EPA's prior comment 3b submitted in our August 21, 2015 letter. Also, a deliverable for the submittal of the final revised report needs to be added to the schedule. Documentation of these changes, via submittal of change page(s), shall then be submitted to the EPA for approval within seven calendar days of receiving this letter.

H:\SUPR\MOKS\\ Site Name: Westla	Vann\EPA partial app	roval_revised wo ID: 0714	orkplan_20150831.d	locx/SW	
Name	Vann	Stoy	Field	Peterson	
Branch	MOKS Ju	CNSL	MOKS	SUPR	
Signature	affermine	AC	Reffer Mil	mix	
Date	6/3/15	9/3/15	al3115		77/4

40496488 Superfund 10.0

If you have any questions regarding this letter or need further clarification on our comments, please email or contact me at (913) 551-7611.

Sincerely,

Brad Vann Remedial Project Manager Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch Superfund Division

cc: Branden Doster, MDNR

Mr. Paul V. Rosasco Project Coordinator Engineering Management Support, Inc. 7220 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 406 Lakewood, CO 80235

Dear Mr. Rosasco:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has reviewed the August 28, 2015 submittal titled, "Revised Work Plan for Additional Characterization of Extent of Radiologically-Impacted Material in Areas 1 and 2 West Lake Landfill Operable Unit-1, Bridgeton, Missouri," as prepared by Engineering Management Support, Inc. (EMSI) on behalf of Cotter Corporation (N.S.L.), Bridgeton Landfill, LLC., Rock Road Industries, Inc. and the United States Department of Energy (the Respondents).

The EPA is approving the following elements of this work plan for immediate execution:

- Scope of Work and Objectives of the Investigation
- Field Investigation and Sample Collection and Analyses
- Schedule of defined activities from Mobilization through Data Validation.

The EPA also expects every effort will be made to minimize durations of the various approved scheduled activities, as discussed in the work plan and prior technical meeting. In general, performance of the fieldwork is clearly based on sonic drilling method and verified in our discussion on September 2, 2015; therefore, employment of other methods such as geoprobe and/or hollow stem auger rig(s) should in theory significantly shorten this activity's duration. As with prior Phase 1D fieldwork, potential schedule delays should be minimal (e.g., days not weeks) if caused by changing field drilling equipment to complete this investigation. If the sonic rig is not readily available, some other sample locations would still be amenable to the hollow stem auger method and could proceed while the sonic rig is mobilized on site.

The EPA is also approving the work plan's reporting section with regards to content but does not, however, approve the associated schedule durations identified under the Data Evaluation and subsequent Report portions. As previously discussed in the August 13, 2015 technical meeting and on September 2, 2015, these activities are not entirely linear. While some overlap time has been built into the revised schedule between these activities, it still does not reflect durations in the EPA's prior comment 3b submitted in our August 21, 2015 letter draft. Also, a deliverable for the submittal of the final revised report needs to be added to the schedule. Documentation of these changes, via submittal of change page(s), shall then be submitted to the EPA for approval within seven calendar days of receiving this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or need further clarification on our comments, please email or contact me at (913) 551-7611.

Sincerely,

Brad Vann Remedial Project Manager Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch Superfund Division

Enclosures

cc: Branden Doster, MDNR

Mr. Paul V. Rosasco Project Coordinator Engineering Management Support, Inc. 7220 West Jefferson Avenue, Suite 406 Lakewood, CO 80235

Dear Mr. Rosasco:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has reviewed the August 28, 2015 submittal titled, "Revised Work Plan for Additional Characterization of Extent of Radiologically-Impacted Material in Areas 1 and 2 West Lake Landfill Operable Unit-1, Bridgeton, Missouri," as prepared by Engineering Management Support, Inc. (EMSI) on behalf of Cotter Corporation (N.S.L.), Bridgeton Landfill, LLC., Rock Road Industries, Inc. and the United States Department of Energy (the Respondents).

The EPA is approving the following elements of this work plan for immediate execution:

- Scope of Work and Objectives of the Investigation.
- Field Investigation and Sample Collection and Analyses,
- Schedule of defined activities from Mobilization through Data Validation.

The EPA also expects every effort will be made to minimize durations of the various approved scheduled activities, as discussed in the work plan and prior technical meeting. In general, performance of the fieldwork is clearly based on sonic drilling method and verified in our discussion on September 2, 2015; therefore, employment of other methods such as geoprobe and/or hollow stem auger rig(s) should in theory significantly shorten this activity's duration. As with prior Phase 1D fieldwork, potential schedule delays should be minimal (e.g., days not weeks) if caused by changing field drilling equipment to complete this investigation. If the sonic rig is not readily available, some other sample locations would still be amenable to the hollow stem auger method and could proceed while the sonic rig is mobilized on site.

The EPA is also approving the work plan's reporting section with regards to content but does not, however, approve the associated schedule durations identified under the Data Evaluation and subsequent Report portions. As previously discussed in the August 13, 2015 technical meeting and on September 2, 2015, these activities are not entirely linear. While some overlap time has been built into the revised schedule between these activities, it still does not reflect durations in the EPA's prior comment 3b submitted in our August 21, 2015 letter. Also, a deliverable for the submittal of the final revised report needs to be added to the schedule. Documentation of these changes, via submittal of change page(s), shall then be submitted to the EPA for approval within seven calendar days of receiving this letter.

H:\SUPR\MOKS\ Site Name: Westl	Vann\EPA partial a ake Landfill Si	pproval revised to	workplan 2015083	31.docx/SW	
Name	Vann	Stoy	Field	Peterson	T
Branch	MOKS	CNSL	MOKS	SUPR	
Signature			F C		
Date					

	at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"
	Deleted: ,
	Deleted:
	Deleted:,
21	Deleted: and s
	Deleted: 1
	Deleted: EPA expects work related to the field preparations (i.e., Task 1) to start immediately and without further delay, in accordance with our technical discussion held on August 13, 2015, and as already approved in my email dated August 15, 2015. Task and all follow on tasks should then proceed within two weeks of the initiation of Task 1 as appropriately identified in the work plan.
	Moved down [1]: but does not accept the assertion of potential schedule delays that are caused by failure to properly reserve in
	Deleted: 9/2/15
	Moved (insertion) [1]
	Deleted: but does not accept the assertion of
	Deleted: that are
	Deleted: by failure to properly reserve in contingency any
-	Deleted:
	Deleted: should other methods prove inadequate
	Deleted: in contingency
	Deleted: areas sh
	Deleted: , which
	Deleted: an
	Deleted: until
	Formatted: Underline
	Deleted: These elements will require further discussion and
	Deleted: 9/2/15
	Deleted:
	Deleted: (
	Deleted: draft
	Deleted:
	Deleted:
	Deleted:) and also needs to add an activity for completing
	Deleted: is
	Deleted:
	Deleted:
	Deleted: by change page(s)
	Deleted: Once resolved, these activities can then be memor
	Deleted: Once the changes are approved as final, the respo
	Formatted: Font: 10 pt
	Formatted Table
	Formatted: Font: 10 pt
4	Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted: H:\SUPR\MOKS\Vann\EPA partial approval_rev

Formatted: Underline

If you have any questions regarding this letter or need further clarification on our comments, please email or contact me at (913) 551-7611. Deleted: 1 Sincerely, Brad Vann Remedial Project Manager Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch Superfund Division cc: Branden Doster, MDNR Deleted: Enclosures¶ Deleted: Shawn Muenks Moved up [2]: H:\SUPR\MOKS\Vann\EPA partial approval_revised workplan_20150831.docx Deleted: H:\SUPR\MOKS\Vann\EPA partial approval_revised approval_revised workplan_20150831.docx ... Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Page 1: [1] Deleted

Vann, Bradley

9/2/2015 4:15:00 PM

by failure to properly reserve in contingency any necessary

Page 1: [2] Deleted

Vann, Bradley

9/2/2015 1:38:00 PM

These elements will require further discussion and negotiation with the EPA.

Page 1: [3] Deleted

Slugantz, Lynn

9/2/2015 5:18:00 PM

) and also needs to add an activity for completing the revised document deliverable.

Page 1: [4] Deleted

Stoy, Alyse

9/1/2015 9:04:00 AM

Once resolved, these activities can then be memorialized in the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study modification, dated March 3, 1993. Based on the projected duration of the aforementioned and approved work plan activities, resolving these remaining items within the modified AOC should not delay nor impact their completion as long as both parties work in good faith to effectively resolve this matter.

Page 1: [5] Deleted

Slugantz, Lynn

9/2/2015 5:20:00 PM

Once the changes are approved as final, the responsible parties will be directed to proceed with the remaining elements of this work plan addendum.

Page 1: [6] Deleted

Watterson, Sarah

9/3/2015 12:30:00 PM

H:\SUPR\MOKS\Vann\EPA partial approval revised workplan 20150831.docx

Page 2: [7] Deleted

Watterson, Sarah

9/3/2015 4:08:00 PM

H:\SUPR\MOKS\Vann\EPA partial approval_revised workplan_20150831.docx							
Name	Vann	Stoy	Field	Peterson			
Branch	MOKS	CNSL	MOKS	SUPR			
Signature							
Date							